arXiv:1302.2062v1 [math.RA] 8 Feb 2013

QUOTIENTS OF ONE-SIDED TRIANGULATED CATEGORIES BY RIGID SUBCATEGORIES AS MODULE CATEGORIES

ZENGQIANG LIN AND YANG ZHANG

Abstract. We prove that some subquotient categories of one-sided triangulated categories are abelian. This unifies a result by Iyama-Yoshino in the case of triangulated categories and a result by Demonet-Liu in the case of exact categories.

1. Introduction

Cluster tilting theory gives a way to construct abelian categories from some triangulated categories. Let H be a hereditary algebra over a field k, and $\mathcal{C}$ be the cluster category defined in [1] as the factor category $D^b(\mathrm{mod}H)/\tau^{-1}\Sigma$, where τ and Σ be the Auslander-Reiten translation and shift functor of $D^b(\mathrm{mod}H)$ respectively. For a cluster tilting object T in $\mathcal{C}$, Buan, Marsh and Reiten [2] showed that $\mathcal{C}/\mathrm{add}\tau T \cong \mathrm{mod}\ \mathrm{End}_{\mathcal{C}}(T)^{\mathrm{op}}$. Keller and Reiten [3] generalized this result in the case of 2-Calabi-Yau triangulated categories by showing that $\mathcal{C}/\Sigma\mathcal{T} \cong \mathrm{mod}\mathcal{T}$, where $\mathcal{T}$ is a cluster tilting subcategory of $\mathcal{C}$. A general framework for cluster tilting is set up by Koenig and Zhu. They [4] showed that any quotient of a triangulated category modulo a cluster titling subcategory carries an abelian structure . Let $\mathcal{C}$ be a triangulated category and $\mathcal{M}$ be a rigid subcategory, i.e. $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathcal{M}, \Sigma\mathcal{M}) = 0$. Iyama and Yoshino [5] showed that $\mathcal{M} * \Sigma\mathcal{M}/\Sigma\mathcal{M} \cong \mathrm{mod}\mathcal{M}$. In particular, if $\mathcal{M}$ is a cluster tilting subcategory, then $\mathcal{M} * \Sigma\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{C}$, thus the work generalized some former results in [2,3,4].

Recently, Cluster tilting theory is also permitted to construct abelian categories from some exact categories. Let $\mathcal{B}$ be an exact category with enough projectives and $\mathcal{M}$ be a cluster tilting subcategory. Demonet and Liu [6] showed that $\mathcal{B}/\mathcal{M} \cong \mathrm{mod}\underline{\mathcal{M}}$, which generalized the work of Koenig and Zhu in the case of Frobenius categories.

The main aim of this article is to unify the work of Iyama-Yoshino and Demonet-Liu, and give a framework for construct abelian categories from triangulated categories and exact categories. Our setting is one-sided triangulated category, which is a natural generalization

---

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 18E10, 18E30.

Key words and phrases. Quotient category; rigid subcategory; right triangulated category; left triangulated category.

Supported by the NSF of China (Grants 11126331, 11101084).

of triangulated category. Left and right triangulated categories were defined by Beligiannis and Marmaridsis in [7]. For details and more information on one-sided triangulated categories we refer to [7-9].

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we review some basic material on module categories over k-linear categories and quotient categories etc. In Section 3, we prove that some subquotient categories of right triangulated categories are module categories, which unifies the Proposition 6.2 in [4] and the Theorem 3.5 in [5]. In Section 4, we prove that some subquotient categories of left triangulated categories are module categories, which unifies the Proposition 6.2 in [4] and the Theorem 3.2 in [5]. And we will see that the case of right triangulated categories and the case of left triangulated categories are not dual.

2. Preliminaries

Throughout this paper, k denotes a field. When we say that $\mathcal{C}$ is a category, we always assume that $\mathcal{C}$ is a Hom-finite Krull-Schmidt k-linear category. For a subcategory $\mathcal{M}$ of category $\mathcal{C}$, we mean $\mathcal{M}$ is an additive full subcategory of $\mathcal{C}$ which is closed under taking direct summands. Let $f : X \to Y$, $g : Y \to Z$ be morphisms in $\mathcal{C}$, we denote by gf the composition of f and g, and f_* the morphism $\mathrm{Hom}_C(M, f)$: $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(M, X) \to \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(M, Y)$ for any $M \in \mathcal{C}$.

Let $\mathcal{C}$ be a category and $\mathcal{X}$ be a subcategory of $\mathcal{C}$. A right $\mathcal{X}$-approximation of C in $\mathcal{C}$ is a map $f : X \to C$, with X in $\mathcal{X}$, such that for all objects X' in $\mathcal{X}$, the sequence $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(X', X) \to \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(X', C) \to 0$ is exact. If for any object $C \in \mathcal{C}$, there exists a right $\mathcal{X}$-approximation $f : X \to C$, then $\mathcal{X}$ is called a contravariantly finite subcategory of $\mathcal{C}$. Dually we have the notions of left $\mathcal{X}$-approximation and covariantly finite subcategory. $\mathcal{X}$ is called functorially finite if $\mathcal{X}$ is contravariantly finite and covariantly finite.

Let $\mathcal{C}$ be a category. A pseudokernel of a morphism $v : V \to W$ in $\mathcal{C}$ is a morphism $u : U \to V$ such that $vu = 0$ and if $u' : U' \to V$ is a morphism such that $vu' = 0$, there exists $f : U' \to U$ such that $u' = uf$. Pseudocokernels are defined dually.

Let $\mathcal{C}$ be a category. A $\mathcal{C}$-module is a contravariant k-linear functor $F : C \to \mathrm{Mod}k$. Then $\mathcal{C}$-modules form an abelian category $\mathrm{Mod}\mathcal{C}$. By Yoneda's lemma, representable functors $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(-, C)$ are projective objects in $\mathrm{Mod}\mathcal{C}$. We denote by mod $\mathcal{C}$ the subcategory of $\mathrm{Mod}\mathcal{C}$ consisting of finitely presented $\mathcal{C}$-modules. One can easily check that $\mathrm{mod}\mathcal{C}$ is closed under cokernels and extensions in $\mathrm{Mod}\mathcal{C}$. Moreover, $\mathrm{mod}\mathcal{C}$ is closed under kernels in $\mathrm{Mod}\mathcal{C}$ if and only if $\mathcal{C}$ has pseudokernels. In this case, $\mathrm{mod}\mathcal{C}$ forms an abelian category (see [10]). For example, if $\mathcal{C}$ is a contravariantly finite subcategory of a triangulated category, then $\mathrm{mod}\mathcal{C}$ forms an abelian category.

Let $\mathcal{C}$ be an additive category and $\mathcal{B}$ be a subcategory of $\mathcal{C}$. For any two objects $X, Y \in \mathcal{C}$, denote by $\mathcal{B}(X, Y)$ the additive subgroup of $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(X,Y)$ such that for any morphism $f \in \mathcal{B}(X,Y)$, f factors through some object in $\mathcal{B}$. We denote by $\mathcal{C}/\mathcal{B}$ the quotient category whose objects are objects of $\mathcal{C}$ and whose morphisms are elements of $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(M,N)/\mathcal{B}(M,N)$. The projection functor $\pi : \mathcal{C} \to \mathcal{C}/\mathcal{B}$ is an additive functor satisfying $\pi(\mathcal{B}) = 0$, and for any additive functor $F : \mathcal{C} \to \mathcal{D}$ satisfying $F(\mathcal{B}) = 0$, there exists a unique additive functor $G : \mathcal{C}/\mathcal{B} \to \mathcal{D}$ such that $F = G\pi$. We have the following two easy and useful facts.

Lemma 2.1. *Let $F : \mathcal{C} \to \mathcal{D}$ be an additive functor. If F is full and dense, and there exists a subcategory $\mathcal{B}$ of $\mathcal{C}$ such that any morphism $f : X \to Y$ in $\mathcal{C}$ with $F(f) = 0$ factors through some object in $\mathcal{B}$, then F induces an equivalence $\mathcal{C}/\mathcal{B} \cong \mathcal{D}$.*

Lemma 2.2. *Let $\mathcal{A}$ be an additive category, $\mathcal{B}$ and $\mathcal{C}$ be two subcategories of $\mathcal{A}$ with $\mathcal{C} \subset \mathcal{B}$. Then there exists an equivalence of categories $(\mathcal{A}/\mathcal{C})/(\mathcal{B}/\mathcal{C}) \cong \mathcal{A}/\mathcal{B}$.*

Proof. Let $\pi_{\mathcal{B}} : \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{A}/\mathcal{B}$ and $\pi_{\mathcal{C}} : \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{A}/\mathcal{C}$ be the projection functors. Note that $\mathcal{C} \subset \mathcal{B}$, we have $\pi_{\mathcal{B}}(\mathcal{C}) = 0$, thus there exists a unique functor $F : \mathcal{A}/\mathcal{C} \to \mathcal{A}/\mathcal{B}$ such that $F\pi_{\mathcal{C}} = \pi_{\mathcal{B}}$. Since $\pi_{\mathcal{B}}$ is full and dense, F is full and dense too.

Let $f : X \to Y$ be a morphism in $\mathcal{A}$ such that $F(\pi_{\mathcal{C}}(f)) = 0$, that is $\pi_{\mathcal{B}}(f) = 0$. Then f factors through some object in $\mathcal{B}$, thus $\pi_{\mathcal{C}}(f)$ factors through some object in $\mathcal{B}/\mathcal{C}$. According to Lemma 2.1, we have an equivalence of categories $(\mathcal{A}/\mathcal{C})/(\mathcal{B}/\mathcal{C}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{A}/\mathcal{B}$. □

3. Subquotient categories of right triangulated categories

Firstly, we recall some basics on right triangulated categories from [8].

Definition 3.1. A right triangulated category is a triple $(\mathcal{C}, \Sigma, \rhd)$, or simply $\mathcal{C}$, where:

(a) $\mathcal{C}$ is an additive category.

(b) $\Sigma : \mathcal{C} \to \mathcal{C}$ is an additive functor, called the shift functor of $\mathcal{C}$.

(c) $\rhd$ is a class of sequences of three morphisms of the form $U \xrightarrow{u} V \xrightarrow{v} W \xrightarrow{w} \Sigma U$, called right triangles, and satisfying the following axioms:

(RTR0) If $U \xrightarrow{u} V \xrightarrow{v} W \xrightarrow{w} \Sigma U$ is a right triangle, and $U' \xrightarrow{u'} V' \xrightarrow{v'} W' \xrightarrow{w'} \Sigma U'$ is a sequence of morphisms such that there exists a commutative diagram in $\mathcal{C}$

$$\begin{tikzcd}
U \arrow[r, "u"] \arrow[d, "f"] & V \arrow[r, "v"] \arrow[d, "g"] & W \arrow[r, "w"] \arrow[d, "h"] & \Sigma U \arrow[d, "\Sigma f"] \\
U' \arrow[r, "u'"] & V' \arrow[r, "v'"] & W' \arrow[r, "w'"] & \Sigma U',
\end{tikzcd}$$

where f, g, h are isomorphisms, then $U' \xrightarrow{u'} V' \xrightarrow{v'} W' \xrightarrow{w'} \Sigma U'$ is also a right triangle.

(RTR1) For any $U \in \mathcal{C}$, the sequence $0 \to U \xrightarrow{1_U} U \to 0$ is a right triangle. And for any morphism $u : U \to V$ in $\mathcal{C}$, there exists a right triangle $U \xrightarrow{u} V \xrightarrow{v} W \xrightarrow{w} \Sigma U$.

(RTR2) If $U \xrightarrow{u} V \xrightarrow{v} W \xrightarrow{w} \Sigma U$ is a right triangle, then so is $V \xrightarrow{v} W \xrightarrow{w} \Sigma U \xrightarrow{-\Sigma u} \Sigma V$.

(RTR3) For any two right triangles $U \xrightarrow{u} V \xrightarrow{v} W \xrightarrow{w} \Sigma U$ and $U' \xrightarrow{u'} V' \xrightarrow{v'} W' \xrightarrow{w'} \Sigma U'$, and any two morphisms $f : U \to U'$, $g : V \to V'$ such that $gu = u'f$, there exists $h : W \to W'$ such that the following diagram is commutative

$$\begin{tikzcd}
U \arrow[r, "u"] \arrow[d, "f"] & V \arrow[r, "v"] \arrow[d, "g"] & W \arrow[r, "w"] \arrow[d, dashed, "h"] & \Sigma U \arrow[d, "\Sigma f"] \\
U' \arrow[r, "u'"] & V' \arrow[r, "v'"] & W' \arrow[r, "w'"] & \Sigma U'.
\end{tikzcd}$$

(RTR4) For any two right triangles $U \xrightarrow{u} V \xrightarrow{v} W \xrightarrow{w} \Sigma U$ and $U' \xrightarrow{u'} U \xrightarrow{v'} W' \xrightarrow{w'} \Sigma U'$, there exists a commutative diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
U' \arrow[r, "u'"] \arrow[d, equal] & U \arrow[r, "v'"] \arrow[d, "u"] & W' \arrow[r, "w'"] \arrow[d, "f"] & \Sigma U \arrow[d, equal] \\
U' \arrow[r, "u \cdot u'"] & V \arrow[r, "p"] \arrow[d, "v"] & V' \arrow[r, "q"] \arrow[d, "g"] & \Sigma U' \\
 & W \arrow[r, equal] \arrow[d, "w"] & W \arrow[d, "\Sigma v' \cdot w"] & \\
 & \Sigma U \arrow[r, "\Sigma v'"] & \Sigma W', &
\end{tikzcd}$$

where the second row and the third column are right triangles.

Example 3.2. A triangulated category $\mathcal{C}$ is a right triangulated category, where the shift functor Σ is an equivalence. In this case, right triangles in $\mathcal{C}$ are called triangles.

Example 3.3. (cf.[7,11]) Let $\mathcal{B}$ be an exact category which contains enough injectives. The subcategory of injectives is denoted by $\mathcal{I}$. Then the quotient category $\overline{\mathcal{B}} = \mathcal{B}/\mathcal{I}$ is a right triangulated category. For any morphism $f \in \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{B}}(X, Y)$, we denote its image in $\mathrm{Hom}_{\overline{\mathcal{B}}}(X, Y)$ by $\overline{f}$. Let us recall the definitions of the shift functor Σ and of the distinguished right triangles. For any $X \in \mathcal{B}$, there is a short exact sequence $0 \to X \xrightarrow{i_X} I_X \xrightarrow{d_X} C_X \to 0$ with $I_X \in \mathcal{I}$. For any morphism $f : X \to Y$, we have the following commutative diagram with exact rows

$$\begin{tikzcd}
0 \arrow[r] & X \arrow[r, "i_X"] \arrow[d, "f"'] & I_X \arrow[r, "d_X"] \arrow[d, "i_f"'] & C_X \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "c_f"'] & 0 \\
0 \arrow[r] & Y \arrow[r, "i_Y"] & I_Y \arrow[r, "d_Y"] & C_Y \arrow[r] & 0,
\end{tikzcd}$$

where $I_X, I_Y \in \mathcal{I}$. Define $\Sigma(X) = C_X$ and $\Sigma\overline{f} = \overline{c_f}$. We can show that the functor Σ is well defined. For any morphism $f : X \to Y$, we have the following commutative diagram with exact rows

$$\begin{tikzcd}
0 \arrow[r] & X \arrow[r, "i_X"] \arrow[d, "f"'] & I_X \arrow[r, "d_X"] \arrow[d, "i_f"'] & C_X \arrow[r] \arrow[d, equal] & 0 \\
0 \arrow[r] & Y \arrow[r, "g"] & Z \arrow[r, "h"] & C_X \arrow[r] & 0,
\end{tikzcd}$$

where Z is the pushout of f and i_X. Then $X \xrightarrow{\overline{f}} Y \xrightarrow{\overline{g}} Z \xrightarrow{\overline{h}} \Sigma X$, or equivalently $X \xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix}\overline{f}\\ \overline{i_X}\end{pmatrix}} Y \oplus I_X \xrightarrow{(\overline{g}, -\overline{i_f})} Z \xrightarrow{\overline{h}} \Sigma X$ is a distinguished right triangle. In this case, there is a short exact sequence $0 \to X \xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix} f\\ i_X\end{pmatrix}} Y \oplus I_X \xrightarrow{(g, -i_f)} Z \to 0$. And we have the following commutative diagram of short exact sequences

$$\begin{tikzcd}
0 \arrow[r] & X \arrow[r, "\begin{pmatrix} f\\ i_X\end{pmatrix}"] \arrow[d, equal] & Y \oplus I_X \arrow[r, "{(g,-i_f)}"] \arrow[d, "{(0,1)}"'] & Z \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "-h"'] & 0 \\
0 \arrow[r] & X \arrow[r, "i_X"] & I_X \arrow[r, "d_X"] & \Sigma X \arrow[r] & 0.
\end{tikzcd}$$

So a distinguished right triangle in $\overline{\mathcal{B}}$ give rise to a short exact sequence in $\mathcal{B}$. On the other hand, Let $0 \to X \xrightarrow{f} Y \xrightarrow{g} Z \to 0$ be a short exact sequence in $\mathcal{B}$, then we have the following commutative diagram with exact rows

$$\begin{tikzcd}
0 \arrow[r] & X \arrow[r, "f"] \arrow[d, equal] & Y \arrow[r, "g"] \arrow[d, "i_Y"'] & Z \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "h"] & 0 \\
0 \arrow[r] & X \arrow[r, "i_Y"] & I_Y \arrow[r, "p"] & \Sigma X \arrow[r] & 0,
\end{tikzcd}$$

where $I_Y \in \mathcal{I}$, and $X \xrightarrow{\overline{f}} Y \xrightarrow{\overline{g}} Z \xrightarrow{-\overline{h}} \Sigma X$ is a right triangle in $\overline{\mathcal{B}}$ [11]. Thus, a short exact sequence in $\mathcal{B}$ give rise to a right triangle in $\overline{\mathcal{B}}$.

The following lemma can be found in [7].

Lemma 3.4. *Let $\mathcal{C}$ be a right triangulated category, and $U \xrightarrow{u} V \xrightarrow{v} W \xrightarrow{w} \Sigma U$ be a right triangle.*

(a) v is a pseudocokernel of u, and w is a pseudocokernel of v.

(b) If Σ is fully faithful, then u is a pseudokernel of v, and v is a pseudokernel of w.

Definition 3.5. Let $\mathcal{C}$ be a right triangulated category. A subcategory $\mathcal{M}$ of $\mathcal{C}$ is called a rigid subcategory if $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathcal{M}, \Sigma\mathcal{M}) = 0$.

Let $\mathcal{M}$ be a rigid subcategory of $\mathcal{C}$. Denote by $\mathcal{M} * \Sigma\mathcal{M}$ the subcategory of $\mathcal{C}$ consisting of all such $X \in \mathcal{C}$ with right triangles $M_0 \to M_1 \to X \to \Sigma M_0$, where $M_0, M_1 \in \mathcal{M}$.

Now we can state the main theorem of this section.

Theorem 3.6. *Let $\mathcal{C}$ be a right triangulated category, and $\mathcal{M}$ be a rigid subcategory of $\mathcal{C}$ satisfying:*

(RC1) Σ is fully faithful when it is restricted to $\mathcal{M}$.

(RC2) For any two objects $M_0, M_1 \in \mathcal{M}$, if $M_0 \xrightarrow{f} M_1 \xrightarrow{g} X \xrightarrow{h} \Sigma M_0$ is a right triangle in $\mathcal{C}$, then g is a right $\mathcal{M}$-approximation of X.

Then there exists an equivalence of categories $\mathcal{M} * \Sigma\mathcal{M}/\Sigma\mathcal{M} \cong mod\mathcal{M}$.

Before prove the theorem, we prove the lemma as follow.

Lemma 3.7. *Under the same assumption as in Theorem 3.6, for any right triangle $M_0 \xrightarrow{f} M_1 \xrightarrow{g} X \xrightarrow{h} \Sigma M_0$ where $M_0, M_1 \in \mathcal{M}$, there is an exact sequence in $Mod\mathcal{M}$*

$$Hom_{\mathcal{M}}(-, M_0) \xrightarrow{Hom_{\mathcal{M}}(-,f)} Hom_{\mathcal{M}}(-, M_1) \xrightarrow{Hom_{\mathcal{C}}(-,g)} Hom_{\mathcal{C}}(-, X)|_{\mathcal{M}} \to 0.$$

Thus, $Hom_{\mathcal{C}}(-, X)|_{\mathcal{M}} \in mod\mathcal{M}$.

Proof. Let $M_0 \xrightarrow{f} M_1 \xrightarrow{g} X \xrightarrow{h} \Sigma M_0$ be a right triangle with $M_0, M_1 \in \mathcal{M}$. For any $M \in \mathcal{M}$, we claim that the following sequence is exact

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(M, M_0) \xrightarrow{f_*} \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(M, M_1) \xrightarrow{g_*} \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(M, X) \to 0. \quad (\star)$$

In fact, by Lemma 3.4 (a), we have $gf = 0$, hence $\mathrm{Im} f_* \subseteq \mathrm{Ker} g_*$. For any $t \in \mathrm{Ker} g_*$, we have the following commutative diagram of right triangles by (RTR3)

$$\begin{tikzcd}
M \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "t"] & 0 \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & \Sigma M \arrow[r, "-\Sigma 1_M"] \arrow[d, dashed, "m'"] & \Sigma M \arrow[d, "\Sigma t"] \\
M_1 \arrow[r, "g"] & X \arrow[r, "h"] & \Sigma M_0 \arrow[r, "-\Sigma f"] & \Sigma M_1.
\end{tikzcd}$$

Since $\Sigma|_{\mathcal{M}}$ is full, there exists a morphism $m : M \to M_0$ such that $m' = \Sigma m$, so $\Sigma t = \Sigma(fm)$. Since $\Sigma|_{\mathcal{M}}$ is faithful, $t = fm = f_*(m) \in \mathrm{Im} f_*$, then $\mathrm{Im} f_* \supseteq \mathrm{Ker} g_*$. Hence $\mathrm{Im} f_* = \mathrm{Ker} g_*$. On the other hand, by (RC2), g_* is surjective. So $(\star)$ is exact. Since M is arbitrary in $\mathcal{M}$, there exists an exact sequence

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{M}}(-, M_0) \xrightarrow{\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{M}}(-,f)} \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{M}}(-, M_1) \xrightarrow{\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(-,g)} \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(-, X)|_{\mathcal{M}} \to 0.$$

$\square$

Proof of Theorem 3.6. By Lemma 3.7, we have an additive functor $F : \mathcal{M} * \Sigma\mathcal{M} \to \mathrm{Mod}\mathcal{M}$, which is defined by $F(X) = \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(-, X)|_{\mathcal{M}}$.

Firstly, we show that F is dense.

For any object $G \in \mathrm{mod}\mathcal{M}$, there exists an exact sequence

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{M}}(-, M') \xrightarrow{\alpha} \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{M}}(-, M'') \to G \to 0$$

with $M', M'' \in \mathcal{M}$. By Yoneda's Lemma, there exists a morphism $f : M' \to M''$ such that α=$\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{M}}(-, f)$. Then by (RTR1), there exists a right triangle $M' \xrightarrow{f} M'' \xrightarrow{g} Z \xrightarrow{h} \Sigma M'$. By Lemma 3.7, there exists an exact sequence $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{M}}(-, M') \xrightarrow{\alpha} \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{M}}(-, M'') \to F(Z) \to 0$, thus G=Coker$\alpha \cong F(Z)$. Hence F is dense.

Secondly, we show that F is full.

For any morphism $\beta : F(X) \to F(Y)$ in mod $\mathcal{M}$, because $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{M}}(-, M_1)$ is a projective object in mod$\mathcal{M}$, we have the following commutative diagram with exact rows in Mod$\mathcal{M}$

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{M}}(-, M_0) \arrow[r, "\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{M}}(-{,}f_1)"] \arrow[d, dashed, "\gamma_0"] & \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{M}}(-, M_1) \arrow[r] \arrow[d, dashed, "\gamma_1"] & F(X) \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "\beta"] & 0 \\
\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{M}}(-, N_0) \arrow[r, "\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{M}}(-{,}f_2)"] & \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{M}}(-, N_1) \arrow[r] & F(Y) \arrow[r] & 0.
\end{tikzcd}$$

By Yoneda's Lemma, for $i = 0, 1$, there exists a morphism $m_i : M_i \to N_i$ such that γ_i=$\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{M}}(-, m_i)$ and $m_1 f_1$=$f_2 m_0$. Hence by (RTR3) we have the following commutative diagram of right triangles

$$\begin{tikzcd}
M_0 \arrow[r, "f_1"] \arrow[d, "m_0"] & M_1 \arrow[r, "g_1"] \arrow[d, "m_1"] & X \arrow[r, "h_1"] \arrow[d, dashed, "s"] & \Sigma M_0 \arrow[d, "\Sigma m_0"] \\
N_0 \arrow[r, "f_2"] & N_1 \arrow[r, "g_2"] & Y \arrow[r, "h_2"] & \Sigma N_0.
\end{tikzcd}$$

Then by Lemma 3.7, we have the following commutative diagram with exact rows in Mod$\mathcal{M}$

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{M}}(-, M_0) \arrow[r, "\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{M}}(-{,}f_1)"] \arrow[d, "\gamma_0"] & \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{M}}(-, M_1) \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "\gamma_1"] & F(X) \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "F(s)"] & 0 \\
\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{M}}(-, N_0) \arrow[r, "\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{M}}(-{,}f_2)"] & \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{M}}(-, N_1) \arrow[r] & F(Y) \arrow[r] & 0.
\end{tikzcd}$$

So $\beta = F(s)$. Hence F is full.

At last, in order to show $\mathcal{M} * \Sigma\mathcal{M}/\Sigma\mathcal{M} \cong \mathrm{mod}\mathcal{M}$, by Lemma 2.1 we only need to prove that any morphism $t : X \to Y$ in $\mathcal{M} * \Sigma\mathcal{M}$ satisfying $F(t) = 0$ factors through some object in $\Sigma\mathcal{M}$.

In fact, let $M_0 \xrightarrow{f_1} M_1 \xrightarrow{g_1} X \xrightarrow{h_1} \Sigma M_0$ be a right triangle with $M_0, M_1 \in \mathcal{M}$, then $tg_1 = 0$ since $F(t) = 0$. Thus by Lemma 3.4(a), t factors through h_1, so t factors through $\Sigma M_0 \in \Sigma\mathcal{M}$. □

Applying Theorem 3.6, we can get the following two corollaries.

Corollary 3.8. *([4, Proposition 6.2]) Let $\mathcal{C}$ be a triangulated category with the shift functor Σ and $\mathcal{M}$ be a rigid subcategory of $\mathcal{C}$. Then there exists an equivalence of categories $\mathcal{M} * \Sigma\mathcal{M}/\Sigma\mathcal{M} \cong mod\mathcal{M}$.*

Proof. Since the shift functor Σ is an equivalence, we know that $\Sigma|_{\mathcal{M}}$ is fully faithful. Let $M_0 \xrightarrow{f} M_1 \xrightarrow{g} X \xrightarrow{h} \Sigma M_0$ be a triangle in $\mathcal{C}$, where $M_0, M_1 \in \mathcal{M}$. Since $\mathcal{M}$ is rigid, we know that g is a right $\mathcal{M}$-approximation of X by Lemma 3.4(b). Thus, condition (RC1) and (RC2) hold. □

Definition 3.9. Let $\mathcal{B}$ be an exact category and $\mathcal{M}$ be a full subcategory of $\mathcal{B}$. $\mathcal{M}$ is called rigid if $\mathrm{Ext}^1_{\mathcal{B}}(\mathcal{M},\mathcal{M}) = 0$.

Corollary 3.10. *([6, Theorem 3.5]) Let $\mathcal{B}$ be an exact category which contains enough injectives, and $\mathcal{M}$ be a rigid subcategory of $\mathcal{B}$ containing all injectives. Denote by $\mathcal{I}$ the subcategory of injectives, and by $\overline{\mathcal{M}}$ the quotient category $\mathcal{M}/\mathcal{I}$. Denote by $\mathcal{M}_R$ the subcategory of objects X in $\mathcal{B}$ such that there exist short exact sequences $0 \to M_0 \to M_1 \to X \to 0$, where $M_0, M_1 \in \mathcal{M}$. Denote by $\Sigma\mathcal{M}$ the subcategory of objects Y in $\mathcal{B}$ such that there exist short exact sequences $0 \to M \to I \to Y \to 0$, where $M \in \mathcal{M}$, $I \in \mathcal{I}$. Then $\mathcal{M}_R/\Sigma\mathcal{M} \cong mod\overline{\mathcal{M}}$.*

Proof. According to Theorem 3.6, we prove the corollary by several steps.

(a) $\overline{\mathcal{M}}$ is a rigid subcategory of the right triangulated category $\overline{\mathcal{B}} = \mathcal{B}/\mathcal{I}$.

Let Σ be the shift functor of $\overline{\mathcal{B}}$, then it is easy to see that $\Sigma\overline{\mathcal{M}} = \overline{\Sigma\mathcal{M}}$. We claim that $\mathrm{Hom}_{\overline{\mathcal{B}}}(\overline{\mathcal{M}}, \Sigma\overline{\mathcal{M}}) = 0$. In fact, for any $\overline{f} \in \mathrm{Hom}_{\overline{\mathcal{B}}}(M, Y)$, where $M \in \overline{\mathcal{M}}$ and $Y \in \Sigma\overline{\mathcal{M}}$. There is a short exact sequence $0 \to M' \xrightarrow{i} I \xrightarrow{d} Y \to 0$, where $M' \in \mathcal{M}$, $I \in \mathcal{I}$. Since $\mathcal{M}$ is rigid in $\mathcal{B}$, applying $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{B}}(M, -)$ to the short exact sequence, we have an exact sequence

$$0 \to \mathrm{Hom}(M, M') \xrightarrow{i_*} \mathrm{Hom}(M, I) \xrightarrow{d_*} \mathrm{Hom}(M, Y) \to 0.$$

So d is a right $\mathcal{M}$-approximation of Y. Thus, f factors through I, hence $\overline{f} = 0$.

(b) $\overline{\mathcal{M}_R} = \overline{\mathcal{M}} * \Sigma\overline{\mathcal{M}}$.

It follows from Example 3.3.

(c) $\mathcal{M}_R/\Sigma\mathcal{M} \cong \overline{\mathcal{M}_R}/\Sigma\overline{\mathcal{M}}$.

It follows from Lemma 2.2 since $\mathcal{I} \subset \Sigma\mathcal{M} \subset \mathcal{M}_R$ and $\Sigma\overline{\mathcal{M}} = \overline{\Sigma\mathcal{M}}$.

(d) $\Sigma|_{\overline{\mathcal{M}}}$ is fully faithful.

For any $M', M'' \in \mathcal{M}$, there exist two short exact sequences $0 \to M' \xrightarrow{i_{M'}} I_{M'} \xrightarrow{d_{M'}} \Sigma M' \to 0$ and $0 \to M'' \xrightarrow{i_{M''}} I_{M''} \xrightarrow{d_{M''}} \Sigma M'' \to 0$, where $I_{M'}, I_{M''} \in \mathcal{I}$, and $d_{M'}$,$d_{M''}$ are right $\mathcal{M}$-approximations.

For any morphism $\alpha : \Sigma M' \to \Sigma M''$ in $\mathcal{B}$, since $d_{M''}$ is a right $\mathcal{M}$-approximation and $I_{M'} \in \mathcal{I} \subset \mathcal{M}$, we have the following commutative diagram with exact rows in $\mathcal{B}$

$$\begin{tikzcd}
0 \arrow[r] & M' \arrow[r, "i_{M'}"] \arrow[d, dashed, "m"] & I_{M'} \arrow[r, "d_{M'}"] \arrow[d, dashed, "j"] & \Sigma M' \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "\alpha"] & 0 \\
0 \arrow[r] & M'' \arrow[r, "i_{M''}"] & I_{M''} \arrow[r, "d_{M''}"] & \Sigma M'' \arrow[r] & 0.
\end{tikzcd}$$

Hence we have $\overline{\alpha} = \Sigma\overline{m}$ by the definition of Σ, thus $\Sigma|_{\mathcal{M}}$ is full.

For any morphism $f : M' \to M''$ in $\mathcal{B}$, Since $I_{M'}$ is an injective object, we have the following commutative diagram of short exact sequences

$$\begin{tikzcd}
0 \arrow[r] & M' \arrow[r, "i_{M'}"] \arrow[d, "f"] & I_{M'} \arrow[r, "d_{M'}"] \arrow[d, "i_f"] & \Sigma M' \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "\Sigma f"] & 0 \\
0 \arrow[r] & M'' \arrow[r, "i_{M''}"] & I_{M''} \arrow[r, "d_{M''}"] & \Sigma M'' \arrow[r] & 0.
\end{tikzcd}$$

Suppose $\Sigma\overline{f} = 0$, then Σf factors through some object in $\mathcal{I}$. Because $d_{M''}$ is right $\mathcal{M}$-approximation, Σf factors through $I_{M''}$, i.e. there exists a morphism $a : \Sigma M' \to I_{M''}$ such that $\Sigma f = d_{M''}a$. Then $d_{M''}(i_f - ad_{M'}) = d_{M''}i_f - (\Sigma f)d_{M'} = 0$, thus there exists a morphism $b : I_{M'} \to M''$ such that $i_{M''}b = i_f - ad_{M'}$, so $i_{M''}(f - bi_{M'}) = i_{M''}f - i_f i_{M'} + ad_{M'}i_{M'} = 0$. Since $i_{M''}$ is a monomorphism, $f = bi_{M'}$, thus f factors through $I_{M'}$. Hence $\overline{f} = 0$ and $\Sigma|_{\mathcal{M}}$ is faithful.

(e) Let $M' \xrightarrow{\overline{f}} M'' \xrightarrow{\overline{g}} X \xrightarrow{\overline{h}} \Sigma M'$ be a right triangle in $\overline{\mathcal{B}}$ with $M', M'' \in \mathcal{M}$, then $\overline{g}$ is a right $\overline{\mathcal{M}}$-approximation of X.

According to Example 3.3 and $\mathcal{I} \subset \mathcal{M}$, we can assume that there is a short exact sequence $0 \to M' \xrightarrow{f} M'' \xrightarrow{g} X \to 0$. Since $\mathcal{M}$ is rigid, there exists an epimorphism $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{B}}(M, g) : \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{B}}(M, M'') \to \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{B}}(M, X)$ for any M in $\mathcal{M}$. Thus we have an epimorphism $\mathrm{Hom}_{\overline{\mathcal{B}}}(M, \overline{g}) : \mathrm{Hom}_{\overline{\mathcal{B}}}(M, M'') \to \mathrm{Hom}_{\overline{\mathcal{B}}}(M, X)$, i.e. $\overline{g}$ is a right $\overline{\mathcal{M}}$-approximation of X. □

4. SUBQUOTIENT CATEGORIES OF LEFT TRIANGULATED CATEGORIES

The definition of left triangulated category is dual to right triangulated category. For convenience, we recall the definition and some facts.

Definition 4.1. ([7]) A left triangulated category is a triple $(\mathcal{C}, \Omega, \lhd)$, or simply $\mathcal{C}$, where:

(a) $\mathcal{C}$ is an additive category.

(b) $\Omega : \mathcal{C} \to \mathcal{C}$ is an additive functor, called the shift functor of $\mathcal{C}$.

(c) $\lhd$ is a class of sequences of three morphisms of the form $\Omega Z \xrightarrow{x} X \xrightarrow{y} Y \xrightarrow{z} Z$, called left triangles, and satisfying the following axioms:

(LTR0) If $\Omega Z \xrightarrow{x} X \xrightarrow{y} Y \xrightarrow{z} Z$ is a left triangle, and $\Omega Z' \xrightarrow{x'} X' \xrightarrow{y'} Y' \xrightarrow{z'} Z'$ is a sequence of morphisms such that there exists a commutative diagram in $\mathcal{C}$

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\Omega Z \arrow[r, "x"] \arrow[d, "\Omega h"] & X \arrow[r, "y"] \arrow[d, "f"] & Y \arrow[r, "z"] \arrow[d, "g"] & Z \arrow[d, "h"] \\
\Omega Z' \arrow[r, "x'"] & X' \arrow[r, "y'"] & Y' \arrow[r, "z'"] & Z',
\end{tikzcd}$$

where f, g, h are isomorphisms, then $\Omega Z' \xrightarrow{x'} X' \xrightarrow{y'} Y' \xrightarrow{z'} Z'$ is also a left triangle.

(LTR1) For any $X \in \mathcal{C}$, the sequence $0 \to X \xrightarrow{1_X} X \to 0$ is a left triangle. And for every morphism $z : Y \to Z$ in $\mathcal{C}$, there exists a left triangle $\Omega Z \xrightarrow{x} X \xrightarrow{y} Y \xrightarrow{z} Z$.

(LTR2) If $\Omega Z \xrightarrow{x} X \xrightarrow{y} Y \xrightarrow{z} Z$ is a left triangle, then so is $\Omega Y \xrightarrow{-\Omega z} \Omega Z \xrightarrow{x} X \xrightarrow{y} Y$.

(LTR3) For any two left triangles $\Omega Z \xrightarrow{x} X \xrightarrow{y} Y \xrightarrow{z} Z$ and $\Omega Z' \xrightarrow{x'} X' \xrightarrow{y'} Y' \xrightarrow{z'} Z'$, and any two morphisms $g : Y \to Y'$, $h : Z \to Z'$ such that $hz = z'g$, there exists $f : X \to X'$ making the following diagram commutative

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\Omega Z \arrow[r, "x"] \arrow[d, "\Omega h"] & X \arrow[r, "y"] \arrow[d, dashed, "f"] & Y \arrow[r, "z"] \arrow[d, "g"] & Z \arrow[d, "h"] \\
\Omega Z' \arrow[r, "x'"] & X' \arrow[r, "y'"] & Y' \arrow[r, "z'"] & Z'
\end{tikzcd}$$

(LTR4) For any two left triangles $\Omega Z \xrightarrow{x} X \xrightarrow{y} Y \xrightarrow{z} Z$ and $\Omega Z' \xrightarrow{x'} X' \xrightarrow{y'} Z \xrightarrow{z'} Z'$, there exists a commutative diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
 & \Omega Y' \arrow[r, "\Omega y'"] \arrow[d, "x \cdot \Omega y'"] & \Omega Z \arrow[d, "x"] & \\
 & X \arrow[r, equal] \arrow[d, "g"] & X \arrow[d, "y"] & \\
\Omega Z' \arrow[r, "u"] \arrow[d, equal] & X' \arrow[r, "v"] \arrow[d, "h"] & Y \arrow[r, "z' \cdot z"] \arrow[d, "z"] & Z' \arrow[d, equal] \\
\Omega Z' \arrow[r, "x'"] & Y' \arrow[r, "y'"] & Z \arrow[r, "z'"] & Z',
\end{tikzcd}$$

where the third row and the second column are left triangles.

Example 4.2. A triangulated category is a left triangulated category.

Example 4.3. Let $\mathcal{B}$ be an exact category with enough projectives. Denote by $\mathcal{P}$ the subcategory of $\mathcal{B}$ consisting of projectives. Then the quotient category $\underline{\mathcal{B}} = \mathcal{B}/\mathcal{P}$ is a left triangulated category.

By (LTR0) and (LTR2), we have the following easy lemma.

Lemma 4.4. *Let* $\Omega Z \xrightarrow{x} X \xrightarrow{y} Y \xrightarrow{z} Z$ *be a left triangle, then so is* $\Omega Y \xrightarrow{\Omega z} \Omega Z \xrightarrow{x} X \xrightarrow{-y} Y$.

Lemma 4.5. *(cf. [8]) Let* $\mathcal{C}$ *be a left triangulated category. Then for any left triangle* $\Omega Z \xrightarrow{x} X \xrightarrow{y} Y \xrightarrow{z} Z$ *and any object* U *of* $\mathcal{C}$*, there exists an exact sequence*

$$\cdots \to Hom_{\mathcal{C}}(U, \Omega Z) \xrightarrow{x_*} Hom_{\mathcal{C}}(U, X) \xrightarrow{y_*} Hom_{\mathcal{C}}(U, Y) \xrightarrow{z_*} Hom_{\mathcal{C}}(U, Z).$$

Definition 4.6. Let $\mathcal{C}$ be a left triangulated category. A subcategory $\mathcal{M}$ of $\mathcal{C}$ is called a rigid subcategory if $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(\Omega\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{M}) = 0$.

Let $\mathcal{M}$ be a rigid subcategory of $\mathcal{C}$. Denote by $\Omega\mathcal{M} * \mathcal{M}$ the subcategory of objects X in $\mathcal{C}$ such that there exist left triangles $\Omega M_1 \to X \to M_0 \to M_1$, where $M_0, M_1 \in \mathcal{M}$. Now we consider the functor $H : \Omega\mathcal{M} * \mathcal{M} \to \mathrm{Mod}\ \mathcal{M}$ defined by $H(X) = \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(\Omega(-), X)|_{\mathcal{M}}$.

Lemma 4.7. *Let* $(\mathcal{C}, \Omega, \lhd)$ *be a left triangulated category and* $\mathcal{M}$ *be a rigid subcategory of* $\mathcal{C}$*. If* $\Omega|_{\mathcal{M}}$ *is fully faithful, then for any left triangle* $\Omega M_1 \xrightarrow{f} X \xrightarrow{g} M_0 \xrightarrow{h} M_1$ *where* $M_0, M_1 \in \mathcal{M}$*, there is an exact sequence in Mod*$\mathcal{M}$

$$Hom_{\mathcal{M}}(-, M_0) \xrightarrow{Hom_{\mathcal{M}}(-,h)} Hom_{\mathcal{M}}(-, M_1) \to H(X) \to 0.$$

Thus, $H(X) \in mod\mathcal{M}$.

Proof. For any $X \in \Omega\mathcal{M} * \mathcal{M}$, there exists a left triangle $\Omega M_1 \xrightarrow{f} X \xrightarrow{g} M_0 \xrightarrow{h} M_1$, where $M_0, M_1 \in \mathcal{M}$. Then $\Omega M_0 \xrightarrow{\Omega h} \Omega M_1 \xrightarrow{f} X \xrightarrow{-g} M_0$ is a left triangle by Lemma 4.4. Thus there exists an exact sequence by Lemma 4.5

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(\Omega M, \Omega M_0) \xrightarrow{(\Omega h)_*} \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(\Omega M, \Omega M_1) \xrightarrow{f_*}$$

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(\Omega M, X) \to \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(\Omega M, M_0) = 0.$$

Since $\Omega|_{\mathcal{M}}$ is fully faithful, we have the following commutative diagram with exact rows

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(M, M_0) \arrow[r, "h_*"] \arrow[d] & \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(M, M_1) \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(\Omega M, X) \arrow[r] \arrow[d, equal] & 0 \\
\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(\Omega M, \Omega M_0) \arrow[r, "(\Omega h)_*"] & \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(\Omega M, \Omega M_1) \arrow[r] & \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(\Omega M, X) \arrow[r] & 0,
\end{tikzcd}$$

where $M \in \mathcal{M}$ and the vertical morphisms are isomorphisms. Thus we have an exact sequence in Mod$\mathcal{C}$

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{M}}(-, M_0) \xrightarrow{\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{M}}(-,h)} \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{M}}(-, M_1) \to H(X) \to 0.$$

So $H(X) \in \mathrm{mod}\mathcal{M}$. □

Theorem 4.8. *Let $\mathcal{C}$ be a left triangulated category, and $\mathcal{M}$ be a rigid subcategory of $\mathcal{C}$ satisfying:*

(LC1) Ω is fully faithful when it is restricted to $\mathcal{M}$.

(LC2) Let $\Omega M_1 \xrightarrow{f} X \xrightarrow{g} M_0 \xrightarrow{h} M_1$ be a left triangle, where $M_0, M_1 \in \mathcal{M}$. Let $Y \in \Omega\mathcal{M} * \mathcal{M}$ and a morphism $t : X \to Y$ such that $tf = 0$, then t factors through g.

Then there exists an equivalence of categories $\Omega\mathcal{M}*\mathcal{M}/\mathcal{M} \cong mod\mathcal{M}$.

Proof. According to Lemma 4.7, we have a functor $H : \Omega\mathcal{M} * \mathcal{M} \to \text{mod}\ \mathcal{M}$.

Firstly, we show that H is dense.

For any object $G \in \text{mod}\ \mathcal{M}$, there exists an exact sequence

$$\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{M}}(-, M') \xrightarrow{\alpha} \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{M}}(-, M'') \to G \to 0$$

with $M', M'' \in \mathcal{M}$. By Yoneda's Lemma, there exists a morphism $h : M' \to M''$ such that α=$\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{M}}(-, h)$. Then by (LTR1), there exists a left triangle $\Omega M'' \xrightarrow{f} Z \xrightarrow{g} M' \xrightarrow{h} M''$. Hence by Lemma 4.7, there exists an exact sequence

$$\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{M}}(-, M') \xrightarrow{\alpha} \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{M}}(-, M'') \to H(Z) \to 0,$$

so G=Coker$\alpha \cong H(Z)$. Hence H is dense.

Secondly, we show that H is full.

For any morphism $\beta : H(X) \to H(Y)$ in mod$\mathcal{M}$. By Lemma 4.7 and because $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{M}}(-, M_1)$ is a projective object of mod$\mathcal{M}$, we have the following commutative diagram with exact rows in Mod$\mathcal{M}$

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{M}}(-, M_0) \arrow[r, "\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{M}}(-,h_1)"] \arrow[d, "\gamma_0"] & \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{M}}(-, M_1) \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "\gamma_1"] & H(X) \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "\beta"] & 0 \\
\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{M}}(-, N_0) \arrow[r, "\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{M}}(-,h_2)"] & \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{M}}(-, N_1) \arrow[r] & H(Y) \arrow[r] & 0.
\end{tikzcd}$$

By Yoneda's Lemma, for $i = 0, 1$, there exists a morphism $m_i : M_i \to N_i$ such that γ_i=$\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{M}}(-, m_i)$ and m_1h_1=h_2m_0. Hence by (LTR3), we have the following commutative diagram of left triangles

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\Omega M_1 \arrow[r, "f_1"] \arrow[d, "\Omega m_1"] & X \arrow[r, "g_1"] \arrow[d, dashed, "s"] & M_0 \arrow[r, "h_1"] \arrow[d, "m_0"] & M_1 \arrow[d, "m_1"] \\
\Omega N_1 \arrow[r, "f_2"] & Y \arrow[r, "g_2"] & N_0 \arrow[r, "h_2"] & N_1.
\end{tikzcd}$$

According to the proof of Lemma 4.7, for any object $M \in \mathcal{M}$, we have the following commutative diagram with exact columns.

$$\begin{tikzcd}
& \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(M, M_0) \arrow[rr] \arrow[dl, "m_{0*}"'] \arrow[dd] & & \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(\Omega M, \Omega M_0) \arrow[dl, "(\Omega m_0)_*"'] \arrow[dd, "(\Omega h_1)_*"'] \\
\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(M, N_0) \arrow[rr, "h_{1*}"] \arrow[dd, "h_{2*}"'] & & \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(\Omega M, \Omega N_0) \arrow[dd] & \\
& \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(M, M_1) \arrow[rr, "(\Omega h_2)_*"] \arrow[dl, "m_{1*}"'] \arrow[dd] & & \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(\Omega M, \Omega M_1) \arrow[dl, "(\Omega m_1)_*"'] \arrow[dd] \\
\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(M, N_1) \arrow[rr] \arrow[dd] & & \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(\Omega M, \Omega N_1) \arrow[dd] & \\
& \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(\Omega M, X) \arrow[rr, equal] \arrow[dl, "s_*"'] \arrow[dd] & & \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(\Omega M, X) \arrow[dl, "s_*"'] \arrow[dd] \\
\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(\Omega M, Y) \arrow[rr, equal] \arrow[d] & & \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(\Omega M, Y) \arrow[d] & \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0.
\end{tikzcd}$$

Thus we have the following commutative diagram with exact rows in Mod $\mathcal{M}$

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{M}}(-, M_0) \arrow[r, "\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{M}}(-{,}h_1)"] \arrow[d, "\gamma_0"] & \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{M}}(-, M_1) \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "\gamma_1"] & H(X) \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "H(s)"] & 0 \\
\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{M}}(-, N_0) \arrow[r, "\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{M}}(-{,}h_2)"] & \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{M}}(-, N_1) \arrow[r] & H(Y) \arrow[r] & 0.
\end{tikzcd}$$

So $\beta = H(s)$. Hence H is full.

At last, let X, Y be objects of $\Omega\mathcal{M} * \mathcal{M}$. We have a left triangle $\Omega M_1 \xrightarrow{f} X \xrightarrow{g} M_0 \xrightarrow{h} M_1$, where $M_0, M_1 \in \mathcal{M}$. Let $t : X \to Y$ be a morphism with $H(t) = 0$, then $tf = 0$. Thus t factors through M_0 by (LC2). So $\Omega\mathcal{M} * \mathcal{M}/\mathcal{M} \cong \mathrm{mod}\mathcal{M}$ by Lemma 2.2. $\square$

Since a triangulated category is a left triangulated category such that the shift functor is an equivalence, the conditions (LC1) and (LC2) holds automatically. Thus we have the following corollary.

Corollary 4.9. *Let $\mathcal{C}$ be a triangulated category with the shift functor T and $\mathcal{M}$ be a rigid subcategory of $\mathcal{C}$, then $T^{-1}\mathcal{M} * \mathcal{M}/\mathcal{M} \cong mod\mathcal{M}$.*

Corollary 4.10. *([5], Theorem 3.2) Let $\mathcal{B}$ be an exact category which contains enough projectives, and $\mathcal{M}$ be a rigid subcategory of $\mathcal{B}$ containing all projectives. Denote by $\mathcal{P}$ the subcategory of projectives, and by $\underline{\mathcal{M}}$ the quotient category $\mathcal{M}/\mathcal{P}$. Denote by $\mathcal{M}_L$ the subcategory of objects X in $\mathcal{B}$ such that there exist short exact sequences $0 \to X \to M_0 \to M_1 \to 0$, where $M_0, M_1 \in \mathcal{M}$. Then $\mathcal{M}_L/\mathcal{M} \cong mod\underline{\mathcal{M}}$.*

Proof. Similar to the proof of Corollary 3.10, we can prove that $\underline{\mathcal{M}}$ is a rigid subcategory of the left triangulated category $\underline{\mathcal{B}}$, and $\underline{\mathcal{M}_L} = \Omega\underline{\mathcal{M}} * \underline{\mathcal{M}}$, and $\mathcal{M}_L/\mathcal{M} \cong \underline{\mathcal{M}_L}/\underline{\mathcal{M}}$, and $\Omega|_{\underline{\mathcal{M}}}$ is fully faithful. To end the proof, we only need to show that $\underline{\mathcal{M}}$ satisfies the condition (LC2).

In fact, let $\Omega M'' \xrightarrow{f_1} X \xrightarrow{g_1} M' \xrightarrow{h_1} M''$ be a left triangle in $\underline{\mathcal{B}}$, where $M', M'' \in \mathcal{M}$. Since $\mathcal{P} \subset \mathcal{M}$, we can assume that $0 \to X \xrightarrow{g_1} M' \xrightarrow{h_1} M'' \to 0$ is a short exact sequence. Let $t : X \to Y$ be a morphism satisfying $\underline{tf_1} = 0$, where $Y \in \mathcal{M}_L$. Then there exists a short exact sequence $0 \to Y \xrightarrow{g_2} N' \xrightarrow{h_2} N'' \to 0$, where $N', N'' \in \mathcal{M}$. Since $\mathcal{M}$ is rigid, it is easy to see that g_1 is a left $\mathcal{M}$-approximation, then we have the following commutative diagram with exact rows in $\mathcal{B}$

$$\begin{tikzcd}
0 \arrow[r] & X \arrow[r, "g_1"] \arrow[d, "t"'] & M' \arrow[r, "h_1"] \arrow[d, "m_1"'] & M'' \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "m_2"'] & 0 \\
0 \arrow[r] & Y \arrow[r, "g_2"] & N' \arrow[r, "h_2"] & N'' \arrow[r] & 0.
\end{tikzcd}$$

The lower exact sequence induces a left triangle $\Omega N'' \xrightarrow{f_2} Y \xrightarrow{g_2} N' \xrightarrow{h_2} N''$. We claim that $\underline{tf_1} = \underline{f_2}\Omega\underline{m_2}$. In fact, we have the following diagram with exact rows in $\mathcal{B}$

$$\begin{tikzcd}
0 \arrow[rr] & & \Omega M'' \arrow[rr, "i_{M''}"] \arrow[dl, "f_1"'] \arrow[dd, "\Omega m_2"] & & P_{M''} \arrow[rr, "d_{M''}"] \arrow[dl, "p_M"'] \arrow[dd, "p"] & & M'' \arrow[r] \arrow[dl, equal] \arrow[dd, "m_2"] & 0 \\
0 \arrow[r] & X \arrow[rr, "g_1"] \arrow[dd, "t"] & & M' \arrow[rr, "h_1"] \arrow[dd, "m_1"] & & M'' \arrow[rr] \arrow[dd, "m_2"] & & 0 \\
0 \arrow[rr] & & \Omega N'' \arrow[rr, "i_{N''}"] \arrow[dl, "f_2"'] & & P_{N''} \arrow[rr, "d_{N''}"] \arrow[dl, "p_N"'] & & N'' \arrow[r] \arrow[dl, equal] & 0 \\
0 \arrow[r] & Y \arrow[rr, "g_2"] & & N' \arrow[rr, "h_2"] & & N'' \arrow[rr] & & 0,
\end{tikzcd}$$

where $P_{M''}, P_{N''} \in \mathcal{P}$, and all squares are commutative except the left one and the middle one. Since $h_2(m_1p_M - p_Np) = m_2d_{M''} - m_2d_{M''} = 0$, there exists a morphism $q : P_{M''} \to Y$ such that $g_2q = m_1p_M - p_Np$. Then $g_2(tf_1 - f_2\Omega m_2 - qi_{M''}) = (m_1p_M - p_Np)i_{M''} - (m_1p_M - p_Np)i_{M''} = 0$. Since g_2 is a monomorphism, we get $tf_1 - f_2\Omega m_2 = qi_{M''}$. Thus $\underline{tf_1} = \underline{f_2}\Omega\underline{m_2}$. Hence we have the following commutative diagram of left triangles in $\underline{\mathcal{B}}$

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\Omega M'' \arrow[r, "\underline{f_1}"] \arrow[d, "\Omega\underline{m_2}"'] & X \arrow[r, "\underline{g_1}"] \arrow[d, "\underline{t}"'] & M' \arrow[r, "\underline{h_1}"] \arrow[d, "\underline{m_1}"'] & M'' \arrow[d, "\underline{m_2}"'] \\
\Omega N'' \arrow[r, "\underline{f_2}"] & Y \arrow[r, "\underline{g_2}"] & N' \arrow[r, "\underline{h_2}"] & N''.
\end{tikzcd}$$

By Lemma 4.4, we have the following commutative diagram of left triangles in $\underline{\mathcal{B}}$

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\Omega M' \arrow[r, "\Omega\underline{h_1}"] \arrow[d, "\Omega\underline{m_1}"'] & \Omega M'' \arrow[r, "\underline{f_1}"] \arrow[d, "\Omega\underline{m_2}"'] & X \arrow[r, "\underline{-g_1}"] \arrow[d, "\underline{t}"'] & M' \arrow[d, "\underline{m_1}"'] \\
\Omega N' \arrow[r, "\Omega\underline{h_2}"] & \Omega N'' \arrow[r, "\underline{f_2}"] & Y \arrow[r, "\underline{-g_2}"] & N'.
\end{tikzcd}$$

Since $\underline{f_2}\Omega\underline{m_2} = \underline{tf_1} = 0$, there exists a morphism $n' : \Omega M'' \to \Omega N'$ such that $\Omega\underline{m_2} = (\Omega\underline{h_2})\underline{n'}$. Because $\Omega|_{\mathcal{M}}$ is fully faithful, there exists a morphism $n_1 : M'' \to N'$ such that $\underline{n'} = \Omega\underline{n_1}$ and $\underline{m_2} = \underline{h_2 n_1}$. Hence $m_2 - h_2 n_1$ factors through $P \in \mathcal{P}$. Since h_2 is a epimorphism, we have the following commutative diagram in $\mathcal{B}$:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
 & & M'' \arrow[dl, "a"'] \arrow[dd, "m_2-h_2n_1"] \\
 & P \arrow[dl, "c"'] \arrow[dr, "b"] & \\
N' \arrow[rr, "h_2"] & & N''.
\end{tikzcd}$$

Let $n = ca + n_1$. Then $m_2 = h_2 n_1 + ba = h_2 n_1 + h_2 ca = h_2 n$ and $\underline{n} = \underline{n_1}$. Since $h_2(m_1 - nh_1) = h_2 m_1 - m_2 h_1 = 0$, there exists a morphism $s : M' \to Y$ such that $g_2 s = m_1 - nh_1$. Hence $g_2(t - sg_1) = g_2 t - m_1 g_1 + nh_1 g_1 = 0$. Because g_2 is a monomorphism, $t = sg_1$, i.e. t factors through g_1. Hence $\underline{t}$ factors through $\underline{g_1}$ in $\underline{\mathcal{B}}$. $\square$

REFERENCES

[1] A Buan, R Marsh, M Reineke, I Reiten, G Todorov. Tilting theory and cluster combinatorics, preprint math. RT/0402054, (2004)

[2] A B Buan, R J Marsh, I Reiten. Cluster-tilted algebras, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 359 (2007), no. 1, 323-332.

[3] B Keller, I Reiten. Cluster-tilted algebras are Gorenstein and stably Calabi-Yau, Adv. Math. 211 (2007), no. 1, 123-151.

[4] S Koenig, B Zhu. From triangulated categories to abelian categories: cluster tilting in a general framework. Math. Z. 258 (2008), no. 1, 143-160.

[5] O Iyama, Y Yoshino. Mutation in triangulated categories and rigid Cohen-Macaulay modules, Invent. Math. 172 (2008), no. 1, 117-168.

[6] L Demonet, Y Liu. Quotients of exact categories by cluster tilting subcategories as module categories. arXiv: 1208.0639v1.

[7] A Beligiannis, N Marmaridis. Left triangulated categories arising from contravariantly finite subcategories. Communications in algebra. 22(12), 5021-5036, 1994.

[8] I Assem, A Beligiannis, N Marmaridis. Right Triangulated Categories with Right Semi-equivalences. Canandian Mathematical Society Conference Proceeding. Volume 24, 1998.

[9] A Beligiannis, I. Reiten. Homological and homotopical aspects of torsion theories (English summary), Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. 188 (2007), no. 883.

[10] M Auslander. Coherent functors. 1966 Proc. Conf. Categorical Algebra (La Jolla, Calif., 1965) pp. 189-231 Springer, New York.

[11] D Happel. Triangulated Categories in the Representation Theory of Finite Dimensional Algebras. London Mathematical Society, LMN 119, Cambridge, 1988.

School of Mathematical sciences, Huaqiao University, Quanzhou 362021, China.
E-mail address: `lzq134@163.com`

School of Mathematical sciences, Huaqiao University, Quanzhou 362021, China.
E-mail address: `zy100912@hqu.edu.cn`